

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Offic**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			vvaag	J., 2.0. 2020 .	_ V2	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A1	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/336,259	06/18/9	99 KOPECEK		J	T6425NP	
-		HM12/1220	٦	E	XAMINER	
M WAYNE WESTERN			GUPTA, A			
THORPE NOF	RTH & WESTE	ERN LLP		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
P O BOX 12 SANDY UT 8			·	1653	7	
				DATE MAILED:	12/20/00	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/336,259

Appl. it(s

Kopecek

Examiner

ANISH GUPTA

Group Art Unit



	ANISH GUPTA	1653	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,		n as to the me	rits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fai application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within the period	for response	will cause the
Disposition of Claims			
	is/a	re pending in t	he application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn fro	m consideration.
Claim(s)		_ is/are allowe	ed.
☐ Claim(s)		_ is/are rejecte	ed.
☐ Claim(s)		_ is/are object	ed to.
	are subject to restri	iction or election	on requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 100 Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	objected to by the Examiner. is approved car. prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(comparts have a second decomparts of the priority documents have a second decompart of the International Bureau (PCT Recompart)	d). e been _ ule 17.2(a)).	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-413 			
□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
SEE OFFICE ACTION (ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 09/336,259

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

a. The water soluble polymers disclosed on page 13 of the specification

b. Protein domain.

c. A bioactive agent disclosed on page 18.

The claims are drawn to a water soluble polymer crosslinked by a protein domain and further comprising a bioactive agent. Applicants are requested to elect a single disclosed species for a water soluble polymer, protein domain, and a bioactive agent.

The species disclosed are patentably distinct from one another since the do not have a common structural core. For example, the bioactive agent includes RNA sequences as well as peptide sequences. These are structurally distinct form one another. Similarly, the polymers disclosed are structurally distinct from one another.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-44 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/336,259

Art Unit: 1653

Page 3

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anish Gupta whose telephone number is (703) 308-4001. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can normally be reached on (703)308-2923. The fax phone number of this group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Anish Gupta

CHRISTOPHER¹S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600